

| | | |
|---|-----------------|--------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 09/756,411 | LORI ET AL. |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| L. E. Crane | 1623 | |

All Participants:

(1) L. E. Crane.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Nancy W. Vensko.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 October 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

None


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's representative indicated that the instant application has been intentionally abandoned in favor of a continuation application to be filed on this date.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)